

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/752,322	NISHIDE, SATORU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James R. Harvey	2833	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 30

(1) James R. Harvey.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Garald E. Hespos.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 October 2004

**Time:** 10:30

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1 a and 7*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Hespos and examiner agreed to use an examiner's amendment to correct grammatical errors not the scope of the claim.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)